

SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet					
	Bridge Package 21					
	Wednesday, January 14, 2026					
	Palmetto		Crowder		Wright Brothers	
Preliminary Responsiveness and Responsibility	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
Were the Proposals submitted in accordance with the Milestone Schedule?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Is a Technical Proposal Narrative provided?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Are Conceptual Plans provided?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Is Proposer still considered responsible?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Responsiveness	Yes/No	Comments	Yes/No	Comments	Yes/No	Comments
Is the Stipend Acknowledgement Form provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Is the Stipend Agreement provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Is the EEO Certificate provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Is the Non-Collusion Certificate provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Is the Addendum Receipt provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Is the Org Chart and Availability of Key Individuals documents provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Technical Proposal Narrative	Reason	Comments	Reason	Comments	Reason	Comments
1. Describe Project Delivery and Approach by discussing/providing the following:						
Describe the Project Delivery & Approach to include assurances and ability to complete the Project within the required timeframe.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Describe your approach to design and how it minimized the need for new right-of-way on the project.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Describe the proposed design submittal process and include a chart showing anticipated deliverables in sequence that will allow SCDOT to conduct efficient and complete reviews. Include discussion of how the design review process is related to any proposed project phasing. Dates do not need to be included in chart showing anticipated deliverables		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
CPM Schedule; include at a minimum: Design phases/breakdown Start and finish milestones for all segments, sections, or phases Details of traffic control plans Traffic shifts Utility windows Right-of-Way acquisitions/right-of-entry Special contract Requirements Known or expected risks		Meets the requirements of the RFP.	RFP Conformance Issues	CPM Schedule line A4300 and A4320 does not comply with the bat moratoriums specified in Exhibit 6 of the RFP.	RFP Conformance Issues	Construction duration for S-168 over Little Choestoea Creek exceeded the allowable contract time specified in the Agreement IV.A table.
	Adjectival Score		Adjectival Score		Adjectival Score	
Overall Adjectival Score:	A		B		B	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	

SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet						
Bridge Package 21						
Wednesday, January 14, 2026						
SCDOT Design-Build						
Technical Proposal Appendices	Reason	Comments	Reason	Comments	Reason	Comments
3) Appendix A.1: Provide Conceptual Roadway Plans: The intent scoring Proposer's conceptual roadway plans is for SCDOT to understand that the proposer clearly demonstrates its understanding of requirements of the RFP and the Team's approach to meet those requirements. The quality of the plans will be reviewed and scored for compliance with RFP requirements, including Formal ATC's authorized for inclusion in the proposal, if any, rather than plan development/preparation conformance. The following shall be provided.						
a) Typical sections for all roadways including as a minimum <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Design speed• Functional classification• Lane configuration and widths• Shoulder and median widths• Cross slopes• Point of grade• Notes and details as necessary		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
b) Plan and profile for the entire project limits including interchange layout (11"x17" plan sheets). Plan view shall include as a minimum: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Geometric layout with reference data• Superelevation data• Taper lengths• Deceleration/acceleration lengths• Construction limits• Existing and proposed Right of Way• Lane alignment• Clear zone limits• Horizontal clearance at obstructions (any critical locations)• Roadside barriers (location and type)• Bridge and box culverts• Limits of retaining walls• Indicate any design exceptions approved in the RFP• Material Staging and Laydown Areas	Omitted Items	Sight distance labels not shown on any of the sites.	Multiple	Omitted material staging and laydown areas. Sight distance labels not shown on any of the sites. Guardrail callout omitted at one site. Superelevation data omitted from plans.	RFP Conformance Issues	[26/168 pdf] New ROW is shown that doesn't meet the requirements specified in Exhibit 4a 2.11 of the RFP.
Cross sections showing existing and proposed conditions (11"x17" plan sheets).		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Special emphasis details (where needed to clearly demonstrate understanding and approach - isolated locations such as ramp ties, wall types, etc.) (11"x17" plan sheets).		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
	Adjectival Score		Adjectival Score		Adjectival Score	
Overall Adjectival Score:	B		C		B	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
Technical Proposal Appendices	Reason	Comments	Reason	Comments	Reason	Comments
5. Appendix A. 3: Provide Conceptual Bridge Plans for the overpass bridges which shall consist of the following:						
Plan and profile of bridge, including but not limited to: horizontal and vertical clearances, hydrology data, intent for bridge deck and bridge end drainage, anticipated foundation type, approximate toe of slope with abutment grading and riprap, expansion joint locations and types of joint materials, and bearing conditions at each bent.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Superstructure cross sections and substructure elevations showing pertinent structural elements and dimensions.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Construction staging plan for bridge work including dimensions of temporary roadway widths both on the bridges and, where applicable, on the roadway		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Bridge construction access plan showing areas used to access the bridge work and showing proposed equipment and material handling locations and staging		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
Retaining wall envelopes at the bridge ends showing top of wall, ground lines, and bottom of wall (required only if retaining walls are proposed).		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.		Meets the requirements of the RFP.
	Adjectival Score		Adjectival Score		Adjectival Score	
Overall Adjectival Score:	A		A		A	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	



SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet					
	Bridge Package 21 Wednesday, January 14, 2026					
Innovation and Added Value:	Comments		Comments		Comments	
2. Describe the Proposer's Innovation and Added Value to the Project that clearly provides additional benefit to SCDOT or the public.						
a) Ability to meet project schedule goals including milestone schedule dates.	Substantial completion achieved 5 months early.				Substantial completion achieved 40 days early.	
b) Avoid or minimize impacts to utilities. Credit will not be awarded in this section for paying prior rights, only for avoidance of impacts.						
c) Avoid or minimize impacts to right of way. Credit will not be awarded in this section for paying premiums, only for avoidance of impacts.	Reduction in overall right of way impacts.		Reduction in overall right of way impacts.		Reduction in overall right of way impacts.	
d) Minimizing impacts to traffic.						
Additional items:	Reduction in bridge maintenance costs by eliminating 3 interior bents, eliminated 3 spans, and upgraded 3 bridges to single-span jointless concrete deck bridges. Overall reduction in wetland impacts.		Reduction in bridge maintenance costs by eliminating 1 interior bent, eliminated 1 span, and upgraded 2 bridges to single-span jointless concrete deck bridges.		Reduction in bridge maintenance costs by eliminating 1 interior bent, eliminated 1 span, and upgraded 2 bridges to single-span jointless concrete deck bridges. Overall reduction in wetland impacts.	
	Quality Credit Points		Quality Credit Points		Quality Credit Points	
Overall Quality Credit Score:	25.49		7.17		13.33	
Procurement Officer Initials	RCF		RCF		RCF	
	I certify that the scores shown on this sheet(s) accurately reflect the actions of the Committee on January 14, 2026 and that the evaluation was done in accordance with the RFP.					
	Chairperson/Voting					
	Michael Pitts Member					
	Levi McLeod Voting Member					
	Melissa Espinoza Voting Member					
	Michael Buck Voting Member					
Renee Frazier Procurement Officer						
Brian Gambrell Legal						